

BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO PUBLIC REGULATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF SOUTHWESTERN)
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY'S)
ANNUAL 2023 RENEWABLE ENERGY)
PORTFOLIO PROCUREMENT PLAN)
AND REQUESTED APPROVALS)
THEREIN; PROPOSED 2023)
RENEWABLE PORTFOLIO STANDARD)
COST AND RECONCILIATION RIDERS;) CASE NO. 22-00__-UT
APPLICATION FOR AN RPS)
INCENTIVE; AND OTHER ASSOCIATED)
RELIEF,)
)
)
)
)
SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE)
COMPANY,)
)
)

APPLICANT.)

DIRECT TESTIMONY

of

SYDNIE M. LIEB

on behalf of

SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY

July 1, 2022

TABLE OF CONTENTS

GLOSSARY OF ACRONYMS AND DEFINED TERMS.....	iii
LIST OF ATTACHMENTS	iv
I. WITNESS IDENTIFICATION AND QUALIFICATIONS	1
II. PURPOSE AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY	3
III. DETERMINATION OF EMISSIONS REDUCTIONS AND THEIR VALUE	5
A. DEMONSTRATION OF 17.9.572.D (1) NMAC.....	8
B. DEMONSTRATION OF 17.9.572.D (2) NMAC	9
C. DETERMINATION OF 17.9.572.22.D(3) NMAC.....	10
VERIFICATION.....	14

GLOSSARY OF ACRONYMS AND DEFINED TERMS

<u>Acronym/Defined Term</u>	<u>Meaning</u>
CO ₂	carbon dioxide
CO ₂ e	carbon dioxide equivalent
Commission	New Mexico Public Regulation Commission
EPA	U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
GHG	greenhouse gas
Interagency Working Group	Federal Interagency Working Group on Social Cost of Greenhouse Gases
MWh	megawatt-hour
N ₂ O	nitrous oxide
REC	Renewable Energy Certificate
RPS	Renewable Portfolio Standard
Rule 572	Renewable Energy Rule (17.9.572 NMAC)
SC-GHG	social cost of greenhouse gases
SPS	Southwestern Public Service Company, a New Mexico corporation
TCR	The Climate Registry
Xcel Energy	Xcel Energy Inc.

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

<u>Attachment</u>	<u>Description</u>
SML-1	Workpapers

Case No. 22-00____-UT
Direct Testimony
of
Sydney M. Lieb

1 **I. WITNESS IDENTIFICATION AND QUALIFICATIONS**

2 **Q. Please state your name and business address.**

3 A. My name is Sydney M. Lieb. My business address is 401 Nicollet Mall,
4 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401.

5 **Q. On whose behalf are you testifying in this proceeding?**

6 A. I am filing testimony on behalf of Southwestern Public Service Company, a New
7 Mexico corporation (“SPS”) and wholly-owned electric utility subsidiary of Xcel
8 Energy Inc. (“Xcel Energy”).

9 **Q. By whom are you employed and in what position?**

10 A. I am employed by Xcel Energy Services Inc. as an Environmental and Energy
11 Policy Manager.

12 **Q. Please briefly outline your responsibilities as an Environmental and Energy**
13 **Policy Manager.**

14 A. My duties include managing Xcel Energy’s climate policy, environmental policy,
15 and environmental communications across our operating territories in eight states.

16 **Q. Please summarize your educational background.**

17 A. I hold a Bachelor’s of Science in Mechanical Engineering from Washington
18 University in St. Louis as well as a Master’s of Science and Doctorate of
19 Philosophy in Mechanical Engineering from the University of Southern

Case No. 22-00____-UT
Direct Testimony
of
Sydney M. Lieb

1 California. My doctoral thesis focused on particulate emissions from fuel
2 combustion.

3 **Q. Please describe your professional experience.**

4 A. I worked for the California Air Resources Board to verify carbon emissions in the
5 state's cap and trade program. After leaving the California Air Resources Board,
6 I worked for two years at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") in
7 the Greenhouse Gas ("GHG") Reporting Program. For the past two and a half
8 years, I have worked in my current role at Xcel Energy.

Case No. 22-00____-UT
Direct Testimony
of
Sydney M. Lieb

1 **II. PURPOSE AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY**

2 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?**

3 A. My testimony establishes the demonstrable value, required by Rule
4 17.9.572.22.D, of carbon dioxide (“CO₂”) emissions reductions attributable to
5 SPS’s proposal to retire renewable energy certificates (“REC”) early in order to
6 earn a financial incentive for meeting the 2025 40% renewable portfolio standard
7 in Plan Years 2023 and 2024 as outlined in the Direct Testimony of SPS witness
8 Mario A. Contreras—I refer to this proposal throughout my testimony as “SPS’s
9 proposal.” As part of my testimony, I will:

- 10 • provide SPS’s total CO₂ emissions, as required by Rule 17.9.572.22.D(1);
11 • calculate the reduction to SPS’s CO₂ emissions attributable to SPS’s
12 proposal as required by Rule 17.9.572.22.D(2); and
13 • calculate the estimated value of the reduction in CO₂ emissions
14 attributable to SPS’s proposal as required by Rule 17.9.572.22.D(3).

15 I conclude that the societal value of reduced CO₂ emissions attributable to SPS’s
16 proposal is \$ 44,696,119 for 2023 and \$47,966,582 for 2024.

Case No. 22-00____-UT
Direct Testimony
of
Sydney M. Lieb

1 **Q. Does SPS believe that a REC represents a unit of emissions reductions for**
2 **carbon accounting purposes?**

3 A. No. SPS considers a REC to be a metric to demonstrate Renewable Portfolio
4 Standard (“RPS”) compliance and it does not demonstrate a unit of carbon
5 reduction for carbon accounting purposes. In fact, SPS does not believe RECs
6 should be used for a proxy analysis in order to demonstrate a unit of carbon
7 reduction for carbon accounting purposes. Despite SPS’s position on this matter,
8 SPS is providing an analysis to calculate the emissions reductions per REC for the
9 sole purpose of complying with Rule 572¹ as part of its proposal for a financial
10 incentive for meeting the 2025 40% RPS requirement two years early. SPS
11 believes its analysis is defensible, as it uses established and accepted U.S.
12 government databases for its calculations

13 **Q. Does renewable generation (and associated RECs) provide benefits in**
14 **addition to any CO₂ emissions avoidance or reductions.**

15 A. Yes. As I discuss below and Mr. Contreras discusses in his testimony, there are
16 benefits associated with renewable generation in addition to and independent of
17 CO₂ emissions avoidance or reductions.

¹ Renewable Energy Rule (17.9.572 NMAC).

Case No. 22-00____-UT
Direct Testimony
of
Sydney M. Lieb

1 **III. DETERMINATION OF EMISSIONS REDUCTIONS AND THEIR VALUE**

2 **Q. What do you discuss in this section of your testimony?**

3 A. In this section of my testimony, I discuss 1) SPS's 2021 total CO₂ emissions; 2)
4 how SPS determined the level of emissions reductions attributable to retiring
5 additional RECs in order to meet the 2025 40% RPS requirement two years early;
6 and 3) how SPS determined the value of those emissions reductions. I discuss
7 these items in the context of complying with Rule 17.9.572.22.D(1)–(3).

8 **Q. What does SPS mean when referring to “emissions” without qualifying it as**
9 **“CO₂ emissions”?**

10 A. When referring to “emissions,” I refer to the “CO₂ and other emissions”
11 consistent with the language of Rule 17.9.527.22.D. Rule 572 defines
12 “emissions” as “all emissions regulated by state or federal authorities, including
13 but not limited to all criteria pollutants and hazardous air pollutants; methane;
14 mercury; and carbon dioxide.”² When discussing emissions generally, I include
15 all major combustion GHG emissions, including nitrous oxide (“N₂O”) and
16 methane in addition to CO₂. I will also present data specific to CO₂ emissions.

² Rule 17.9.572.7.E.

Case No. 22-00____-UT
Direct Testimony
of
Sydney M. Lieb

1 **Q. Please describe the requirements a utility must demonstrate under Rule**
2 **17.9.572.22 in order to receive a financial incentive.**

3 A. Rule 17.9.572.22.D states that “[a] utility requesting a financial or other incentive
4 under this rule must establish that the benefits of achieving the goals set out in
5 Subsection B of this section above are not exceeded by the costs it incurred to
6 achieve them.”³ The Rule requires the utility to provide a detailed cost-benefit
7 analysis that includes, but is not necessarily limited to, consideration of the
8 following:

- 9 (1) the utility’s total carbon dioxide emissions;
10 (2) the reduction in the utility’s carbon dioxide emissions
11 attributable to the measures described in subsection B
12 of this section;
13 (3) the estimated value of the reduction in carbon dioxide
14 emissions described in Paragraph (2) of this subsection
15 based on an analysis of relevant carbon dioxide
16 markets;
17 (4) the cost of the measures implemented by the utility that
18 resulted in the lower carbon dioxide emissions
19 identified in Paragraph (2) of this subsection and the
20 dates when each measure was implemented; and
21 (5) any other costs necessary to implement each of the
22 measures identified in Subsection B of this section.⁴

³ 17.9.572.22.D.

⁴ 17.9.572.22.D NMAC.

Case No. 22-00____-UT
Direct Testimony
of
Sydney M. Lieb

1 I address items (1) through (3) in my testimony. SPS witness Ben R. Elsey
2 discusses the cost of the measures in his direct testimony. Mr. Contreras
3 discusses in his testimony other benefits not captured by the factors listed in Rule
4 17.9.572.22.D(1)–(5) that should be considered in the cost-benefit analysis.

5 **Q. Does the calculation you perform below fully capture the value of all benefits**
6 **associated with the use of renewable generation?**

7 A. No. As described below, my calculation is based on an accepted value that is
8 associated with a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions. While reduction of
9 greenhouse gases is one benefit associated with renewable generation, there are
10 other benefits associated with renewable energy that extend beyond emission
11 reductions. For example, my understanding is that New Mexico's Renewable
12 Energy Act recognizes that increased use of renewable energy can result in
13 increased energy self-sufficiency, the preservation of natural resources, and
14 economic benefits.⁵ The potential value associated with these benefits is not fully
15 captured in the social cost of greenhouse gases value that I use below.
16 Accordingly, the calculation I am providing is intended to estimate only the
17 emission reduction related benefits associated with SPS's proposal.

⁵ See NMSA 1978 § 62-16-2(A).

Case No. 22-00____-UT
Direct Testimony
of
Sydney M. Lieb

1 **Q. Can you please state the formula SPS used to determine the value of “the**
2 **reduction in carbon dioxide emissions”?**

3 A. The cost of “the reduction in carbon dioxide emissions” is the cost of the
4 emissions avoided by implementing SPS’s proposal. The cost of avoided
5 emissions is calculated according to the formula below. This formula assumes
6 one REC represents one megawatt-hour (“MWh”) of generated renewable energy,
7 consistent with the Renewable Energy Act and Commission Rule.

8 *Cost of Emission Reductions = (Excess RECs Retired)**
9 *(Regional Intensity CO₂e lb/MWh)*(Social Cost of Carbon)*

10 I discuss the components of this formula below.

11 **A. Demonstration of 17.9.572.D (1) NMAC**

12 **Q. What is SPS’s total CO₂ emissions?**

13 A. SPS’s total CO₂ emissions during the year 2021 were 11,311,623 tons. SPS uses
14 The Climate Registry (“TCR”) Electric Power Sector Protocol to calculate and
15 report CO₂ emissions. The TCR protocol aligns with the World Resources
16 Institute and the International Organization for Standardization 14000 series
17 standards. By using TCR, SPS goes above and beyond the requirements of EPA
 reporting to get a full view of the CO₂ emissions from the SPS-owned electric

Case No. 22-00____-UT
Direct Testimony
of
Sydney M. Lieb

1 generation plants and from the electricity that SPS purchases from others to serve
2 its customers, including both retail and wholesale customers.

B. Demonstration of 17.9.572.D (2) NMAC

3 **Q. What is the reduction in SPS's emissions attributable to retiring RECs in**
4 **order to meet the 2025 40% renewable portfolio standard two years early?**

5 A. Based on the number of RECs needed to fulfill SPS's proposal, as discussed in
6 the testimony of Mr. Elsey, the total reductions in SPS's CO₂ emissions
7 attributable to SPS's proposal is 1.69 million short tons. The total emissions
8 reductions, including methane and N₂O is 1.70 million short tons. I explain how I
9 calculated this below.

10 **Q. How did SPS determine the CO₂ emissions reductions attributable to SPS's**
11 **proposal?**

12 A. CO₂ emission reductions attributable to SPS's proposal are determined by
13 multiplying the MWh of excess retired RECs and the regional CO₂ equivalent
14 ("CO₂e") emissions intensity.

15 **Q. How does SPS determine the regional CO₂ emissions intensity?**

16 A. The EPA Clean Air Markets Division publishes an estimate of regional grid
17 emissions intensities. The most recent version of this data can be found at:

Case No. 22-00____-UT
Direct Testimony
of
Sydney M. Lieb

1 [https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2021-](https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2021-02/documents/egrid2019_summary_tables.pdf)
2 [02/documents/egrid2019_summary_tables.pdf](https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2021-02/documents/egrid2019_summary_tables.pdf).

3 SPS uses Southwest Power Pool South region for these calculations. SPS
4 estimates emission reductions by assuming that each MWh (as demonstrated by
5 one retired REC) displaces one MWh with a CO₂ intensity equivalent to the CO₂
6 intensity of the grid regional average.

C. Determination of 17.9.572.22.D(3) NMAC

7 **Q. What framework did SPS use to evaluate the cost of emissions reduction?**

8 A. SPS evaluated the cost of emission reduction based on the interim estimates of the
9 social cost of greenhouse gases (“SC-GHG”), which include the social cost of
10 CO₂, methane, and N₂O. The SC-GHG is provided by the Federal Interagency
11 Working Group on Social Cost of Greenhouse Gases (“Interagency Working
12 Group”). The values for the SC-GHG provided by the Interagency Working
13 Group have not yet been finalized into a rule. However, the interim values for the
14 SC-GHG provided by the Interagency Working Group are the best available
15 values. Updated final estimates from this group are anticipated later this year.

16 **Q. What does the term “social cost of greenhouse gas” mean?**

17 A. The SC-GHG is a monetized, discounted value of the stream of future global
18 avoided economic damages from a one-ton change in greenhouse gas emissions in

Case No. 22-00____-UT
Direct Testimony
of
Sydney M. Lieb

1 a particular year. This value is determined by the Interagency Working Group. In
2 principle, it includes the value of all climate change impacts, including (but not
3 limited to) changes in net agricultural productivity, human health effects, property
4 damage from increased flood risk natural disasters, disruption of energy systems,
5 risk of conflict, environmental migration, and the value of ecosystem services.

6 **Q. What is the SC-GHG dollar amount per metric ton of CO₂ emission for 2023**
7 **and 2024?**

8 A. The interim costs in 2023 and 2024 are \$54 and \$55/metric ton of CO₂ emissions,
9 respectively.

10 **Q. Why has SPS chosen to use the SC-GHG to place a value on emissions?**

11 A. The SC-GHG estimates the cost of GHG emissions using a robust and
12 scientifically founded assessment of all climate change impacts, including
13 changes in net agricultural productivity, human health effects, property damage
14 from increased flood risk natural disasters, disruption of energy systems, risk of
15 conflict, environmental migration, and the value of ecosystem services.⁶ The SC-
16 GHG is a well-accepted value used in both federal and state regulations. The

⁶ The Interagency Working Group's interim working paper can be found at the following link:
https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/TechnicalSupportDocument_SocialCostofCarbonMethaneNitrousOxide.pdf.

Case No. 22-00____-UT
Direct Testimony
of
Sydney M. Lieb

1 social cost of CO₂ is within a few dollars of the standardized price for CO₂
2 emissions that electric utilities are required to use when filing their Integrated
3 Resource Plan.⁷

4 **Q. What is the next step in determining the value of emissions reductions?**

5 A. After determining the SC-GHG per metric ton for 2023 through 2024, the next
6 step is to apply a 3% discount rate to calculate the cost of emissions to assign to
7 additional emission reductions. These final values are demonstrated in Table
8 SML-1, below.

9 **Q. Why did you choose to use the 3% discount rate?**

10 A. The Interagency Working Group provides different values for the SC-GHG using
11 three different discount rates, 2.5%, 3%, and 5%. SPS uses the 3% discount rate
12 because it is consistent with the Office of Management and Budget's consumption
13 rate of interest. The 2.5% and 5% discount rates represent high and low
14 sensitivities.

⁷ Case No. 06-00448 UT, *Notice of Inquiry into Adoption of Staged Standardized Carbon Emissions Costs*, Order Approving Recommended Decision and Adopting Standardized Carbon Emission Costs for Integrated Resource Plans (June 19, 2007).

VERIFICATION

On this day, July 1, 2022, I, Sydnie M. Lieb, swear and affirm under penalty of perjury under the law of the State of New Mexico, that my testimony contained in Direct Testimony of Sydnie M. Lieb is true and correct.

/s/ Sydnie M. Lieb

SYDNIE M. LIEB

Workpapers

**Attachment SML-1 is provided in
native format**